# Neonatal Vascular Access: Pearls, Proficiency and Innovation in Practice Janet W Chen APRN CNP VA-BC



## Abstract

Establishing vascular access is one of the most frequently performed procedures in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) Thus, it is imperative that we apply bestpractice in our approach to these vital lines. This poster will address the some of the latest evidence in neonatal vascular access (peripheral and central) including vein preservation/selection, device options, current insertion recommendations, skin antisepsis and site dressing. Recommendations for vascular access training and professional advancement are outlined as well as the power of advancing practice through the impact of vascular access data collection to improve patient outcomes.

## Objective

Do you have neonatal vascular access questions but not enough time to find the answers? Don't like reading studies for the answers you need? You want and need the evidence but you would rather be taking care of your patients. This poster will provide a concise primer on what every clinician needs to know to improve their vascular access practice

# The evidence, experts and organizations

The current evidence presented in this poster is based on the extensive work of these groups that drive the vascular access and infusion therapy practice. The latest Infusion Therapy Standards of Practice by INS released in 2016 is extensively cited. INS has long provided the framework that guides clinical vascular access and infusion practice. For all patients, at any age, these are your "go to" resources for bringing excellence in vascular access. These organizations are truly multidisciplinary whose members include industry leaders, RNs, RCPs, dieticians, APRNs, and MDs with specialties in vascular access, anesthesiology, radiology and cardiothoracic surgery.



# Institutional process improvement

Standards Policies Practice

#### = Alignment

- Audit your unit's current vascular access process. Does it align with published evidenced-based guidelines? Are you using current available technology, equipment? Feedback should lead to improvement – new products/practice and an attitude of "is there a better way to do this?" Work with manufacturers. They are not the enemy and can help!
- Implement change, make it sustainable, work with impediments to change
- Consider an outside consultant to evaluate your practice
- Collect your peripherally inserted central catheter (PICC) data metrics and compare to the literature. Share and publish your outcomes!



# time

It is critical that your hospital utilizes competent clinicians in the use and placement of all vascular access devices with knowledge to assess each patient **on** admission as to the appropriate device. A vascular access device selection algorithm should be used<sup>1,2</sup>

Non-inflant Osmolarity - 900mOsm/L Vesicant or Inflan Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L	Non-irritant Osmolarity < 900m0sm/L Vesicant or Irriban Osmolarity of >900m0sm/L	Non-irritant Osmolarity - 900mOsm/L Vesicant or Irritan Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L Methods and State of Asso >900mOsm/L	,	Medication Charac	terist
Vesicant or Irritan Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L	Vesicant or kritan Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L	Vesicant or Irritan Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L Manitory Statistical flasts Park III Andrew C. B. M. H. Market Franksky, Mark II of Carlo Hand Robattow C. Scher Ha		Non-irritar Osmolarity 900mOsm	nt r < /L
Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L	Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L	Osmolarity of >900mOsm/L		Vesicant or Irr	ritan
	ences Infusion Nursing Standards of Fractio	ences Inflution Narsing Standards of Practic Patel BAC Bauchaver CS, Rady MJ, La Bergeram TB, Johnson D, Heiners MJ, Ingact of Perchanally Insented Gent Cardietter Hields Biodeltreamin Intercore Care Unit. J Patenet Safety. Ray & A, Rail-Mach, et al. (2020) Prev		Osmolarity >900mOsm	of /L

- vascular access
- Select the least invasive device with the lowest risk to last duration of treatment • Select the device with the least number of lumens

- Insert using the Central Line Insertion Checklist using a Central Line Bundle • Assess device **daily** to evaluate the health of the vessel, functionality, and need
- Remove the device as soon as no longer medically necessary<sup>2</sup>
- Do not routinely replace PICCs<sup>3</sup> • Consider limiting umbilical venous catheter dwell time to 7 to 14 days, and umbilical arterial catheter to no more than 5 days<sup>3</sup>

# Professional advancement in neonatal vascular access: Improve your skills

- Learn Modified Seldinger Technique (MST) and ultrasound guided peripheral intravenous (PIV) and PICC insertion • Sign up for vascular access educational programs that teach advanced neonatal vascular access www.piccexcellence.com is one company that offers quality curriculum
- Seek out vascular access experts in your hospital from adult to pediatric, and learn from each other. Spend some days job shadowing, you will be amazed at how much we can learn from our colleagues in other specialties
- Join the Association for Vascular Access (<u>www.avainfo.org</u>) and one of the 48 state chapters who provide extensive vascular access educational and scientific programs
- Become vascular access certified (www.vacert.org) and join other healthcare professionals and related disciplines working in the field of vascular access
- Become the vascular access expert in your hospital

Neonatal Nurse Practitioner, Vascular Access Board Certified Children's Minnesota

# The right venous access, the right patient, the right



• Communicate between the treatment team the specific patient factors that affect

### New technologies, new evidence, new produc

Ten takeaways from the latest 2016 INS Standards of Practice:<sup>2</sup>

- Use the smallest gauge size for PIVs and PICCs to preserve vess is evidence that longer PIV catheters help reduce the risk of cath extend dwell time<sup>4</sup>
- . Limit attempts: no more than two attempts per any one nurse an total number of attempts to **four**
- Properly secure: do not rely on just a transparent dressing. Use a device. It will decrease the risk of catheter migration, the risk of and prolongs the life of the device. Use a skin barrier solution to of medical adhesive-related skin injury (MARSI)
- 4. Use CHG-impregnated dressing over central venous catheters (C perform skin antisepsis with > 0.5 % chlorhexidine gluconate (C vascular access sites (caveat for use in preterm infants)
- Consider passive disinfecting caps (needleless connectors) to ma IV infusion system
- 6. Replace primary and secondary administration sets used to admi other than lipid, TPN, blood products no more frequently than The infusion set should be changed whenever a new PIV or CVC
- All vascular devices are flushed prior to and after medication int daily to assess functionality.
- 8. Dressing: change CVC dressings at least every 7 days or when d adherent, or visibly soiled. Change gauze dressings every 2 days
- 9. Consider the use of a hemostatic agent at the PICC site after inse bleeding if other methods (eg, pressure) fail after PICC insertior
- 10. Use near-infrared or transillumination when venous access is dif ultrasound (US) guidance for PIV and US and MST for PICC pl



Ultrasound technology is standard for PICC insertions in children and insertion success rates >90%. It reduces insertion related complications. MST further increases success with less vein trauma. MST and US is the standard for neonatal PICC insertions and PIVs

### References

- 10. Racadio, J. M., et. al. (2001). Pediatric peripherally inserted central catheters: Complication rates related to catheter tip location. *Pediatrics*, 107(2), 1-4. 11. Gupta, R., Drendel, A. L., Hoffman, R. G., Quijano, C. V., & Uhing, M. R. (2016). Migration of central venous catheters in neonates: A radiographic assessment. American Journal of Perinatology, 33(6), 600-604.
- 12. United States Food & Drug Administration. (1989). Precautions necessary with central venous catheters. FDA Bulletin, 19(2), 15-16.



Seel health. There heter failure andPICC post insertion complications are the lowest of all CVCs. <sup>5</sup> Complications can be avoided by using insertion and maintenance bundles, the proper device based on infant's weight and vein size, proper tip position, use of a securement device, and daily assessment of line function.delimit on the a stabilization Complications, o reduce the riskTrim the catheter: To the shortest possible length to prevent migration, improve securement, reduce resistance to flow. Follow the instructions for use (IFU) for the device. <sup>6</sup> Proper tip placement: Non-central tips are 8x more likely to have a complication. <sup>10</sup> Subclinical thrombosis is 60% higher in tips that lie in axillary, brachiocephalic and subclavian veins in adults. <sup>7</sup> Below is target tip location for neonates: upper extremity PICC: lower 1/2 to 1/3 of SVC or RA/SVC junction <sup>2.68,9</sup> lower extremity PICC: lower 1/2 to 1/3 of SVC or RA/SVC junction <sup>2.68,9</sup> lower extremity PICC: lower 1/2 to 1/3 of SVC or RA/SVC junction <sup>2.68,9</sup> lower extremity PICC: lower 1/2 to 1/3 of SVC or RA/SVC junction <sup>2.68,9</sup> lower extremity PICC: lower 1/2 to 1/3 of SVC or RA/SVC junction <sup>2.68,9</sup> lower extremity PICC: NC/ RA just above diaphragm. T8-T10 <sup>6.10</sup> If you are unable to get central tip location pull the line back outside of the thoracic cavity a use it as a "midline". Manage the catheter as long peripheral IV rather than a central line. <sup>6</sup> Proper tips placed fusion and at leastThere are data that show PICCs have up to a 50% chance of inward migration within 24hrs after insertion as well as during the dwell time. Obtain an x ray to confirm tip placement after each line adjustment, within 24hrs after insertion, and a target in instrument, with 24hrs after insertion, and a target in instrument, within 24hrs after insertion, and a target in instrument, within	hera
ad limit on the a stabilization f complications, o reduce the riskTrim the catheter: To the shortest possible length to prevent migration, improve securement, reduce resistance to flow. Follow the instructions for use (IFU) for the device. <sup>6</sup> CVCs) and CHG) for allProper tip placement: Non-central tips are 8x more likely to have a complication. <sup>10</sup> Subclinical thrombosis is 60% higher in tips that lie in axillary, brachiocephalic and subclavian veins in adults. <sup>7</sup> Below is target tip location for neonates: upper extremity PICC: lower 1/2 to 1/3 of SVC or RA/SVC junction <sup>2,6,8,9</sup> lower extremity PICC: IVC/ RA just above diaphragm. T8-T10 <sup>6,10</sup> If you are unable to get central tip location pull the line back outside of the thoracic cavity a use it as a "midline". Manage the catheter as long peripheral IV rather than a central line. <sup>6</sup> There are data that show PICCs have up to a 50% chance of inward migration within 24hrs after insertion as well as during the dwell time. Obtain an x ray to confirm tip placement after each line adjustment, within 24hrs after insertion, and at regular intervals during the dwell time. 26 II 2017	<b>DU</b> RIPHERAL 0% of trad
CVCs) and CHG) for allSubclinical thrombosis is 60% higher in tips that lie in axillary, brachiocephalic and subclavian veins in adults. <sup>7</sup> Below is target tip location for neonates: upper extremity PICC: lower 1/2 to 1/3 of SVC or RA/SVC junction <sup>2,6,8,9</sup> lower extremity PICC: IVC/ RA just above diaphragm. T8-T10 <sup>6,10</sup> If you are unable to get central tip location pull the line back outside of the thoracic cavity a use it as a "midline". Manage the catheter as long peripheral IV rather than 	(
inister solutions every 96 hours. C is placed fusion and at least lamp, non-	
C is placed fusion and at least fusion and at least damp_non-	ATIENT I + Discom + Prolon + Extend + Possib
at regular intervals during dwell time. <sup>2,0,11,12,17</sup>	+ And ev
ertion to reduce Appropriate size catheter: The external diameter of the catheter should be < 1/3 the internal diameter of the vein if measuring using ultrasound. <sup>13</sup>	
lacement Mechanical phlebitis: Do not abruptly remove the line. Apply warm compresses. It usually resolved itself within 24-72 hours. <sup>14</sup>	40% athe
Flushing: Perform at least daily to assess line function and prevent occlusion. Use a 5-10ml syringe (smaller syringe sizes can generate pressures as high as 300 psi) with volumes twice the volume of the catheter using pulse-pause technique. <sup>6</sup>	stabilizati 67% and
Catheter salvage is preferred over catheter removal for CVAD occlusions. <sup>2</sup> 1 in 4 catheters may become occluded. <sup>15</sup> Alteplase works in restoring line function and is cheaper than inserting a new line. Use it! For precipitate occlusion use HCL. <sup>16</sup>	The Infu recom ly <mark>6.2%</mark> o
. Using US with e emerging Blood sampling/transfusion: Anecdotal reports of success using 2 fr PICCs. This area needs more research	

Disinfection of Needleless Connector Hubs: Clinical Evidence Systematic Review - Scientific Figure on Research Gate. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/Moureau-Vascular-Access-Device-Selection-Algorithm\_fig4\_286512032 [accessed 29 Apr, 2018] Infusion Nurses Society. (2016). Infusion Nursing Standards of Practice . J Infus Nurs . 39 (1S): S1-S159 . www.ins1.org.

3. CDC. (2011). Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-Related Infections, Centers for Disease Control, 1-83.

4. Elia, F., et. al. (2011). Standard-Length Catheters vs Long Catheters in Ultrasound-Guided Peripheral Vein Cannulation. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 30:5, 712-716. doi: 10.10116j.ajem 2011.04.019.

5. Maki D. G., Kluger D. M., Crnich C. J. (2006). The risk of bloodstream infection in adults with different intravascular devices: a systematic review of 200 published prospective studies. *Mayo Clin Proc*, 81:1159-1171.

6. Mason Wyckoff, M., & Sharp, E. L. (2015). Peripherally inserted central catheters: Guideline for practice (3rd ed.). Chicago, IL: National Association of Neonatal Nurses.

Kearns, P. J., Coleman, S., Wehner, J. H. (1996). Complications of long arm-catheters: a randomized trial of central vs peripheral tip locations. JPEN, 20(1): 20-24.

8. Baskin, K. M., et al. (2008). Cavoatrial junction and central venous access tip position. *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, 19(3): 359-365. 9. Doellman, D. et al. (2015). Best practice guidelines in the care and maintenance of pediatric central venous catheters (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Herriman, UT: Association for Vascular Access.

13. Pittiruti, M. (2012). Ultrasound guided central vascular access in neonates, infant and children. Current drug targets. 13(7): 961-969.

14. Pettit J. (2002). Assessment of infants with peripherally inserted central catheters; Part 1 Detecting the most frequently occurring complication. Adv Neonatal Care, 2(6):304-315 15. Stephens, L. C., Haire, W. D., Kotulak, G. D. (1995). Are clinical signs accurate indicators of the cause of central venous catheter occlusion? JPEN, 19(1): 75-79.

16. Zenk, K., Sills, J., Koeppel, R. (2003). Neonatal Medications & Nutrition: A comprehensive guide. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Santa Rosa CA: NICU Ink.

17. Srinivasan, H., Tjin-A-Tam, A., Galang, R., Hecht, A., Srinivasan, G. (2013). Migration patterns of peripherally inserted central venous catheters at 24 hours postinsertion in neonates. American Journal of Perinatology, 30(10).



# **IVs**

r before treatment is complete (Rickard et al., The Lancet, 201)



## **Contact information**



PIVs currently utilize a stabilization device

Janet W Chen APRN CNP VA-BC **Neonatal Nurse Practitioner Childrens Minnesota** Janet.Chen@childrensmn.org